

**REMARKS**

The objection to the disclosure has been addressed above. Likewise, the rejection of claims 1-14 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, though traversed because the objection raised does not rise to the level of rendering the claims unclear or indistinct, is nevertheless moot in light of the foregoing non-limiting amendment substituting, merely equivalent language. Applicants would note, however, that there is a plethora of U.S. patents using the words “stationarily”, “swivellably” and “swivellable”. That is, these terms commonly used in the art.

The rejection of claim 1 as being anticipated by DE '641 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) and of claim 2 as being unpatentable over Abels in view of Gentner et al. under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) are traversed but are deemed moot in light of the cancellation of claim 1 and the dependency of claim upon allowable claim 3 now written in independent form. Accordingly, claims 2-14 should now be allowed.

Accordingly, early and favorable action is earnestly solicited.


If there are any questions regarding this amendment or the application in general, a telephone call to the undersigned would be appreciated since this should expedite the prosecution of the application for all concerned.

If necessary to effect a timely response, this paper should be considered as a petition for an Extension of Time sufficient to effect a timely response, and

Serial No. 10/617,357  
Amendment  
Reply to Office Action of June 2, 2004

please charge any deficiency in fees or credit any overpayments to Deposit  
Account No. 05-1323 (Docket #028987.52350US).

Respectfully submitted,



James F. McKeown  
Registration No. 25,406

September 2, 2004

CROWELL & MORING LLP  
Intellectual Property Group  
P.O. Box 14300  
Washington, DC 20044-4300  
Telephone No.: (202) 624-2500  
Facsimile No.: (202) 628-8844  
JFM:mtm:vlc